| 1                               |  | HONORABLE RONALD B. LEIGHTON                  |
|---------------------------------|--|---|
| 2                               |  |   |
| 3                               |  |   |
| 4                               |  |   |
| 5                               |  |   |
| 6                               |  |   |
| 7                               | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT<br>WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA                       |   |
| 8<br>9                          | DAVID NOEL SMITH and MAUREEN<br>ANNE SMITH,  | No. 07-05125                                  |
| 10                              | Plaintiffs,  |   |
| 11                              | v.   | ORDER ON DEFENDANTS'                          |
| 12                              | JACQUELYN AUFDERHEIDE, CHRIS<br>ENDRESEN, JAN ANGEL, PATTY LENT,                               | MOTIONS IN LIMINE                             |
| 13                              | CHRIS GEARS, RANDY CASTEEL, JONATHAN BRAND, MALCOLM  | NOTED FOR: October 10, 2008                   |
| 14                              | FLEMMING, PENNY STARKY, JOHN<br>DOES 1-3, JANE DOES 1-3, AND                                   |   |
| 15                              | KITSAP COUNTY,   |   |
| 16                              | Defendants.  |   |
| 17                              | THIS MATTED having some before the   | a Court on Defendant Viteen County's          |
| 18                              | THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Defendant Kitsap County's                          |   |
| 19                              | Motions in Limine, and the Court having consideration  | dered the arguments of the parties, rules as  |
| 20                              | follows. Where the Court has granted a Motior  | n in Limine, all counsel are required to      |
| 21                              | inform witnesses they call of the existence of the order and its contents. For Motions in      |   |
| 22                              | Limine which have been denied, in the absence  | of a specific request from the trial court to |
| 23                              | renew the objection, the moving party would be   | e spared from further objection to that       |
| 24                              | evidence when introduced at trial.   |   |
| 25                              | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: County's  | s Membership in Risk Pool.                    |
| <ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul> | [X] Granted [] Denied  | [ ] Reserved                                  |
| <i>Δ1</i>                       | ORDER RE DEF KITSAP COUNTY'S MIL- 1 CAUSE NO. 07-5125 RBL H:\ORDERS\Smith Order MIL 092608.doc | KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S.      |

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 981 04-3175
PHONE: (206) 623-9861
FAX: (206) 223-9423

| 1                               | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Settlement of the Sutherland and Shank Litigation.  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| 2                               | [ ] Granted [X] Denied In Part [ ] Reserved  |  |
| 3                               | <u>Comments</u> :  |  |
| 5                               | Plaintiff can elicit testimony about the fact that the case did settle and that Kitsap   |  |
| 6                               | County paid "some" money to Shank and to Sutherland. The amount of the settlement will   |  |
| 7                               | not be mentioned, however.   |  |
| 8                               | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Racial Comments By Casteel and Others.  |  |
| 9                               | [ ] Granted [X] Denied In Part [ ] Reserved  |  |
| 10                              | <u>Comments</u> :  |  |
| 11<br>12                        | Plaintiff can testify to what he told his superiors about racial comments by Casteel   |  |
| 13                              | and Brand. The testimony on the subject is limited to what he told his supervisors,  |  |
| 14                              | however. If plaintiff did not speak to superiors about a subject it is not relevant.   |  |
| 15                              | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Claims Of "Nepotism."   |  |
| 16                              | [X] Granted [ ] Denied [ ] Reserved  |  |
| 17                              | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Witnesses Who Want To Testify As "Victims."   |  |
| 18<br>19                        | [ ] Granted [ ] Denied [ ] Reserved  |  |
| 20                              | <u>Comments</u> :  |  |
| 21                              | Plaintiff can testify to what he told his supervisors about specific employees who   |  |
| 22                              | were subjected to retaliation or discrimination. Whether these witnesses were or were not  |  |
| 23                              | subjected to retaliation and/or discrimination is not an issue in this case. Witnesses can   |  |
| 24                              | testify about their observations of retaliation against plaintiff, however.  |  |
| <ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul> | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Witnesses Who Left Kitsap County Long Before  |  |
| 20<br>27                        | [ ] Granted [ ] Denied [ ] Reserved  |  |
|                                 | ORDER RE DEF KITSAP COUNTY'S MIL- 2 CAUSE NO. 07-5125 RBL H:\ORDERS\Smith Order MIL 092608.doc  KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S. |  |

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

800 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 4141

SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104-3175

PHONE: (2006) 623-8861

FAX: (206) 223-9423

| 1        | Comments:  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | Plaintiff can testify to what he told his supervisors about specific employees who         |
| 3        | were subjected to retaliation or discrimination. Whether these witnesses were or were not  |
| 4        | subjected to retaliation and/or discrimination is not an issue in this case. Witnesses can |
| 5        | testify about their observations of retaliation against plaintiff, however.                |
| 6<br>7   | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Plaintiff's Speculation About Job Opportunities  Lost.                |
| 8        | [ ] Granted [ ] Denied [X] Reserved  |
| 9        | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Jon Brand "Threatened" Me.  |
| 10       | [ ] Granted [ ] Denied [X] Reserved  |
| 11       | Comments:  |
| 12       | The tape will be played and plaintiff can testify that he felt like he was being           |
| 13<br>14 | threatened.  |
| 15       | MOTION IN LIMINE RE: Plaintiff's Belief That The Tape Recordings Were Legal.               |
| 16<br>17 | [X] Granted [ ] Denied [ ] Reserved  |
| 18       | DONE IN OPEN COURT this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2008.                             |
| 19       |  |
| 20       |  |
| 21       | Kmal D. Leyhtun  |
| 22       | RONALD B. LEIGHTON<br>UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE   |
| 23       |  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       |  |

26

27

| 1                               | Presented by  |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 2                               | KEATING, BUCKLIN & McCORMACK, INC., P.S.                    |
| 3                               |   |
| 4                               | /s Andrew G. Cooley   |
| 5                               | Andrew G. Cooley, WSBA #15189 Jayne L. Freeman, WSBA #24318 |
| 6                               | Attorney for Defendants                                     |
| 7                               |   |
| 8                               |   |
| 9                               |   |
| 10                              |   |
| 11                              |   |
| <ul><li>12</li><li>13</li></ul> |   |
| 14                              |   |
| 15                              |   |
| 16                              |   |
| 17                              |   |
| 18                              |   |
| 19                              |   |
| 20                              |   |
| 21                              |   |
| 22                              |   |
| 23                              |   |
| <ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul> |   |
| 26                              |   |
| 27                              |   |